

Subject: RE: CPRA request (LAWA.2019.10.29.b)
From: "JAMISON, ANGELA M." <AJAMISON@lawa.org>
Date: 5/15/20, 11:55 AM
To: [REDACTED]

Hello,
All information you requested that is not privileged has been sent.
Angie

Angela Jamison
Program Manager - Strategic Operations
Airport Operations and Emergency Management
Los Angeles World Airports
(: 424.646.9108 | *: ajamison@lawa.org)
Cell: 513.633.8627



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 22, 2020 9:01 PM
To: JAMISON, ANGELA M. <AJAMISON@lawa.org>
Subject: Re: CPRA request (LAWA.2019.10.29.b)

Thanks so much for your work!

But you're really misreading the law you're relying on. It requires you to redact lists "that identify persons as - (A) Having unescorted access to a secure area of an airport," and yet you've redacted names in contexts that have absolutely nothing to do with access to anything. This can't possibly be what that law means to authorize.

E.g. otherwise I wouldn't have eleventy zillion emails from Mario Patrick, a fellow who's extraordinarily eager to exploit the broadest possible readings of every exemption known to CPRAology both real and invented, with his actual name unredacted on all of them. If the law said what you seem to be hearing from it Patrick would have been sending me nothing but solid black blocks these many years.

Also, your unauthorized redactions make these documents actually impossible to understand since there's no way to tell who's saying what across different entries. Would it be possible to send them unredacted as the law clearly requires?